

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/841,609	04/25/2001	Vitaliy Arkadyevich Livshits	206339US0	4787	
38108	7590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER		
AJINOMOTO CORPORATE SERVICES, LLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			KERR, KATHLEEN M		
	CTICUT AVE., N.W.	IVICIAI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		1652		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/841,609 LIVSHITS ET AL.		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathleen M Kerr	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application abandonent which	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final C	n. See MPEP  priate extension priate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	ithin the period set fo f the appeal.	rth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	***		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belov	□ will be entered ar w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 4,6,7 and 9-12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-3,8.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Kathleen M Kerr	
		Examiner Art Unit: 1652	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitation in Claim 4, requiring a "higher" amount of amino acid production, has not been previously considered and would require consideration as new matter and as the limitations affect the art rejection.